



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/638,177 08/07/2003 Steven Moseley DT-6588 3673 **EXAMINER** 30377 7590 08/17/2005 DAVID TOREN, ESQ. **NEUDER, WILLIAM P** ABELMAN FRAYNE & SCHWAB PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 666 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017-5621 3672

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/638,177	MOSELEY, STEVEN
		Examiner	Art Unit
		William P. Neuder	3672
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	ly 2005.	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) <u></u> Si	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.			
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ C	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(c)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.			ate
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date 3/4/04.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims and specification are very confusing. Starting with the drawings, what kind of views are the drawings. Applicant states that figures 1A and 1B are one embodiment of the invention, yet, these two figures do not even show the insert in the same size. Is figure 1B a top view of Figure 1A? The minimal radius of curvature is not described in enough detail. How is the minimal radius of curvature at the transition zones X prime between the main cutting element and the contact edge. Also, the tool for which the device is intended to be used needs to be shown to better understand what applicant's invention really is. The way the claim is currently written, remembering that I do not understand what applicant is trying to claim, it appears all inserts having a polycrystalline diamond layer would read on the claim. Also, what is the purpose of the scallop 7 and how does it enhance the invention. Applicant is requested to carefully review the specification and claims for any and all errors and to better define applicant's invention. Also, no criticality for R/20 has been given.

Application/Control Number: 10/638,177

Art Unit: 3672

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not understood what "configured in segments" means. "with at least segmental a radius R" is not understood. In line 3, "in the layer plane" has no antecedent basis. Also, it is not understood how the minimal radius of curvature in the transition zone X prime is related to the contact edge. Clarification is required.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-5 in the reply filed on 7/5/05 is acknowledged.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Neuder whose telephone number is 571-272-7032. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William P Neuder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

W.P.N.